REMARKS ON THE ASYMMETRIC LITHIATION

OF (S)-I-FERROCENYLMETHYL-2-METHYLPIPERIDINE AND RELATED SUBJECTS (I)

G. Gokel, P. Hoffmann, H. Kleimann, H. Klusacek,

D. Marquarding and I. Ugi

Department of Chemistry of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90007 and Wissenschaftliches Hauptlaboratorium der Farbenfabriken Bayer, A. G., Leverkusen, Germany

(Received in USA 27 February 1970; received in UK for publication 6 April 1970)

Recently, the asymmetrically induced lithiation of I-(S) was claimed (2) to form II-(S)(S) (3) almost

exclusively and no detectable amounts of II-(S)(R).



This was inferred from the observed optical rotations of various ferrocene derivatives, e.g. IV, which were obtained from II; the optical rotation observed for IV was $[\alpha]_D^{2\circ} = +130^\circ$, c = 0.25, ethanol.

The reasons for such pronounced stereoselectivity (4) were not at all clear to the present authors. In order to clarify this matter, the following sequence of reactions was carried out.

The readily accessible IIIa (5) was transformed into IIId, via intermediates IIIb and IIIc (4,6).



The resolution of IIId with (R)-0,0'-di- α -naphthoyltartaric acid in methanol yields IIId-(R) ([α]_D²^o = -58.5^o, c = 2.2, ethanol. The Sommelet oxidation (7) of IIId-(R) yields IV-(R) (84%, mp: 91-92^o; [α]_D^{2o} = +194^o, c = 0.28, ethanol; TLC: C₆H₆ R_f \simeq 0.4).

The positive algebraic sign of the optical rotation of IV-(R) [vs. VI-(R)] is opposite to what one would predict on the basis of <u>Schlögl's rule</u> (8). In fact, it is not to be expected that <u>simple rules</u> concerning observations of chirality are generally valid for these ferrocene derivatives, because most of the sp²-ligands on ferrocene are themselves axially chiral [e. g. the <u>anti-aldehyde</u> group in IV-(R)]. <u>Simple rules</u> on chirality are valid for group theoretical reasons (9) and are confined to chiral molecules with achiral molecular frameworks and achiral ligands.

Excess methylmagnesium iodide in ether and subsequent hydrolysis transforms IV-(R) stereospecifically into V-(R)(R) [90%; bp_{0.01}: 120-25°; TLC: C_6H_6 $R_f \cong 0.3$; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -48.3^\circ$

c = 0.54, benzene; identical with a sample prepared in 85% yield from (R)(R)-2-trimethylsilyl-1-

 α -dimethylaminoethyl-ferrocene (4) by treatment with methyl iodide and sodium hydroxide at 20[°] in



aqueous glyme (4,6)].

This confirms the assumption that methylmagnesium iodide attacks the indicated conformation of IV-(R) from the "top" side of the substituted cyclopentadienyl moiety of IV-(R).

The treatment of V-(R)(R) in benzene at 0° with phosgene and excess triethylamine, and subsequent refluxing (o.5 h) yields VI-(R) (78%, $[\alpha]_D^{2\circ} = -885^{\circ}$, c = 0.52, acetone) which is found, by comparison with an authentic sample (4), to be optically pure. III-(R) and IV-(R) were, therefore also optically pure.

Accordingly, compound IV which was synthesized by Aratani, Gonda and Nozaki (2) from I-(S) was only 67% optically pure, and hence the lithiation of I-(S) proceeds with considerably lower stereoselectivity than claimed.

- (1) Stereoselective Syntheses VII. Preceding report cf. ref. (4).
- (2) T. Aratani, T. Gonda and H. Nozaki, Tetrahedron Letters, 1969, 2265.
- (3) Stereochemical nomenclature cf. ref. (4).
- (4) D. Marquarding, H. Klusacek, G. Gokel, P. Hoffmann and I. Ugi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. (in press).
- (5) G. Marr, J. Organomet. Chem., 9, 147 (1967).
- (6) G. Gokel, P. Hoffmann, H. Klusacek, D. Marquarding, E. Ruch and I. Ugi, <u>Angew. Chem.</u>, <u>82</u>, 77 (1970).
- (7) G. D. Broadhead, J. M. Osgerby and P. L. Pauson, J. Chem. Soc., 1958, 650.
- (8) H. Falk and K. Schlögl, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, <u>22</u>, 3047 (1966); K. Schlögl, <u>Topics in Stereochemistry</u>, Vol. <u>1</u>, eds.: N. L. Allinger and E. L. Eliel, Wiley, New York 1967, p. 85.
- (9) E. Ruch and I. Ugi, <u>Theor. Chem. Acta</u>, <u>4</u>, 287 (1966); E. Ruch, A. Schönhofer and I. Ugi, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>7</u>, 420 (1967); E. Ruch and A. Schönhofer, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>10</u>, 91 (1968); E. Ruch, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>11</u>, 183 (1968); further pertinent papers: E. Ruch <u>et al.</u>, <u>ibid.</u>, (1970) (in press); E. Ruch and I. Ugi, <u>Topics in Stereochemistry</u>, Vol. <u>4</u>, eds.: N. L. Allinger and E. L. Eliel, Wiley, New York 1969, p. 99.